Global Networks: Understanding an Interconnected World

Pietro Battiston

VII Festa di Scienza e Filosofia Foligno, 29/04/2016

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Main ingredients

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ → 圖 - 釣�?

Main ingredients

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ



Main ingredients





Connections

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Main ingredients



Main ingredients



Main ingredients



A couple of examples



æ

A couple of examples

Nodes Edges



æ

Nodes banks Edges



æ

A couple of examples Edges

les banks ges loans



(日) (圖) (E) (E)

æ

NodesbanksEdgesloans



æ



æ





æ

sectors





web pages

æ



æ



æ



æ



<ロト <回ト < 注ト < 注ト

ж





Nodes

Edges



banks



industrial sectors trade



web pages links twitter accounts followers

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲注▶ ▲注▶ … 注: 釣ぬ()



Nodes banks

Edges loans

Nodes sharks Edges

A couple of examples

industrial sectors trade



web pages links

twitter

twitter accounts followers

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト



Nodes banks

Edges loa



Nodes sharks Edges hanging out



industrial sectors trade



web pages links

twitter accounts followers

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・

э













industrial sectors

trade





web pages

twitter accounts

followers

(日)、







industrial sectors

trade

actors



Nodes Edges hanging out

web pages links

twitter

accounts followers

(日)、







industrial sectors

trade



NodessharksactorsEdgeshanging outcollaboration



web pages

twitter

twitter accounts followers

(日)、





web pages links

twitter accounts followers

(日)、





(日)、

э

twitter accounts followers



A couple of examples



A couple of examples



web pages

links



twitter accounts followers



・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト ъ





twitter accounts followers



individuals

ъ

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト



A couple of examples

|▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲画▶ ▲画▶ | 画|||の�@



Edges

examples

of

couple

∢



A couple of examples



(日) (同) (日) (日)

examples of couple ∢


A couple of examples

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 ● のへぐ



A couple of examples

・ロト・4日ト・4日ト・4日ト ヨージへで



examples

of

∢



A couple of examples

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ



examples

q

couple (

∢

() < </p>

ъ



イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

ъ

A couple of examples



A couple of examples

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─ のへで



A couple of examples

▲□ > ▲圖 > ▲ 臣 > ▲ 臣 > → 臣 = ∽ 9 Q ()~.



examples

∢

ъ

Additional ingredients - 1/3: edge properties

Additional ingredients - 1/3: edge properties



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Difference between



Additional ingredients - 1/3: edge properties

Difference between

Direction



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三目 - のへで









・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ



◆□ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ <

On nodes:







◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ



★□> <圖> < E> < E> E のQ@





On edges:







▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ■ のへの



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで



<ロ> <@> < E> < E> E のQの





◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─ 臣

Opportunity for growth



Opportunity for growth...but also for predatory competition concerning:

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・



Opportunity for growth...but also for predatory competition concerning:

(日) (圖) (E) (E) (E)

working conditions,



Opportunity for growth...but also for predatory competition concerning:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─ 臣 ─

- working conditions,
- (customer) safety,



Opportunity for growth...but also for predatory competition concerning:

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- working conditions,
- (customer) safety,
- environmental regulation.
... about environmental regulation

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

æ



(https://xkcd.com/1732)

... about environmental regulation



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

(https://xkcd.com/1732)

... about environmental regulation









A global problem with local causes.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

A global problem with local causes.



◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─ 臣

A global problem with local causes.





A global problem with local causes.



A global problem with local causes.



"Carbon leakages"

A possible solution





A possible solution



Reconstructing the *path* taken by my new car, or by some part of it, allows me to understand where it is "really" made (and hence its "embedded CO2").

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Reconstructing the *path* taken by my new car, or by some part of it, allows me to understand where it is "really" made (and hence its "embedded CO2").

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Not in terms of *miles/kilometers*, but in terms of *traversed nodes/edges*

Reconstructing the *path* taken by my new car, or by some part of it, allows me to understand where it is "really" made (and hence its "embedded CO2"). Not in terms of *miles/kilometers*, but in terms of *traversed*

nodes/edges

When we talk about the *length* of a path (or *distance* of two nodes)... we usually refer to the number of *steps*.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Reconstructing the *path* taken by my new car, or by some part of it, allows me to understand where it is "really" made (and hence its "embedded CO2").

Not in terms of *miles/kilometers*, but in terms of *traversed* nodes/edges

When we talk about the *length* of a path (or *distance* of two nodes)... we usually refer to the number of *steps*.



Reconstructing the *path* taken by my new car, or by some part of it, allows me to understand where it is "really" made (and hence its "embedded CO2").

Not in terms of *miles/kilometers*, but in terms of *traversed* nodes/edges

When we talk about the *length* of a path (or *distance* of two nodes)... we usually refer to the number of *steps*.



Reconstructing the *path* taken by my new car, or by some part of it, allows me to understand where it is "really" made (and hence its "embedded CO2").

Not in terms of *miles/kilometers*, but in terms of *traversed* nodes/edges

When we talk about the *length* of a path (or *distance* of two nodes)... we usually refer to the number of *steps*.



Reconstructing the *path* taken by my new car, or by some part of it, allows me to understand where it is "really" made (and hence its "embedded CO2").

Not in terms of *miles/kilometers*, but in terms of *traversed* nodes/edges

When we talk about the *length* of a path (or *distance* of two nodes)... we usually refer to the number of *steps*.



Length of orange path: 4

Reconstructing the *path* taken by my new car, or by some part of it, allows me to understand where it is "really" made (and hence its "embedded CO2").

Not in terms of *miles/kilometers*, but in terms of *traversed* nodes/edges

When we talk about the *length* of a path (or *distance* of two nodes)... we usually refer to the number of *steps*.



Length of orange path: 4

Reconstructing the *path* taken by my new car, or by some part of it, allows me to understand where it is "really" made (and hence its "embedded CO2").

Not in terms of *miles/kilometers*, but in terms of *traversed* nodes/edges

When we talk about the *length* of a path (or *distance* of two nodes)... we usually refer to the number of *steps*.



Length of orange path: 4 Distance between nodes 4 and 7: 2

Reconstructing the *path* taken by my new car, or by some part of it, allows me to understand where it is "really" made (and hence its "embedded CO2").

Not in terms of *miles/kilometers*, but in terms of *traversed* nodes/edges

When we talk about the *length* of a path (or *distance* of two nodes)... we usually refer to the number of *steps*.



Length of orange path: 4 Distance between nodes 4 and 7: 2 Application 2: the network of human mobility

Application 2: the network of human mobility

People move too!



▲ロト ▲御 ト ▲ 唐 ト ▲ 唐 ト 二 唐 … の Q ()

Application 2: the network of human mobility

People move too!



ъ

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ 日下 ・ 日

Problem: spreading of epidemics





 1899-1923: sixth cholera pandemic, 800 000 deaths around the world

(日)、



- 1899-1923: sixth cholera pandemic, 800 000 deaths around the world
- 1910-1911: last cases of cholera in the USA, on a ship from Naples (Italy)

・ロト ・ 一下・ ・ ヨト・



- 1899-1923: sixth cholera pandemic, 800 000 deaths around the world
- 1910-1911: last cases of cholera in the USA, on a ship from Naples (Italy)
- passengers are isolated on the artificial Swinburne Island for several months: (only) 11 of them die

・ロト ・ 一下・ ・ ヨト ・



- 1899-1923: sixth cholera pandemic, 800 000 deaths around the world
- 1910-1911: last cases of cholera in the USA, on a ship from Naples (Italy)
- passengers are isolated on the artificial Swinburne Island for several months: (only) 11 of them die
- the journey from Naples to New York took around a week, so the outburst was identified

・ロト ・ 一下・ ・ ヨト ・

In general: geographically identify, and delimit, the outburst.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Easier and quicker detection...but also much faster mobility with air traffic.

Easier and quicker detection...but also much faster mobility with air traffic.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

SARS epidemic of 2003; H1N1 epidemic of 2009: geographic distance was *almost irrelevant*.

Easier and quicker detection... but also much faster mobility with air traffic.

SARS epidemic of 2003; H1N1 epidemic of 2009: geographic distance was *almost irrelevant*.



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

 \implies analyze the network of air traffic!

Easier and quicker detection...but also much faster mobility with air traffic.

SARS epidemic of 2003; H1N1 epidemic of 2009: geographic distance was *almost irrelevant*.



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

 \implies analyze the network of air traffic!

We can answer questions such as:

Easier and quicker detection...but also much faster mobility with air traffic.

SARS epidemic of 2003; H1N1 epidemic of 2009: geographic distance was *almost irrelevant*.



 \implies analyze the network of air traffic!

We can answer questions such as:

"How much/how quickly do we expect it to spread?"

Easier and quicker detection...but also much faster mobility with air traffic.

SARS epidemic of 2003; H1N1 epidemic of 2009: geographic distance was *almost irrelevant*.



 \implies analyze the network of air traffic!

We can answer questions such as:

"How much/how quickly do we expect it to spread?"

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ うへの

"Where is monitoring most important?"
Main concepts, II: degree

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★園▶ ★園▶ - 園 - のへで

Main concepts, II: degree

The *importance* of a node can be roughly estimated by looking at the number of edges touching it: the *degree*.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ



Main concepts, II: degree

The *importance* of a node can be roughly estimated by looking at the number of edges touching it: the *degree*.



When talking about *weighted* nodes we want something (slightly!) more sophisticated: the *weighted degree*.







◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで



In directed networks, we have:



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

In directed networks, we have:

indegree: number of incoming edges



In directed networks, we have:

 indegree: number of incoming edges (other accounts followed - who cares),

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ



In directed networks, we have:

 indegree: number of incoming edges (other accounts followed - who cares),

outdegree: number of outgoing edges



In directed networks, we have:

- indegree: number of incoming edges (other accounts followed - who cares),
- outdegree: number of outgoing edges (followers important!)

Back to pandemics

... let's zoom in a bit:



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Back to pandemics

... let's zoom in a bit:



э

Degree may not really matter that much...

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = = の�?

Intuition: do not look at the *edges* starting from a node, but rather the *paths*.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Intuition: do not look at the *edges* starting from a node, but rather the *paths*.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Centrality of a node

Intuition: do not look at the *edges* starting from a node, but rather the *paths*.

Number of neighbors (degree)

Centrality of a node

Intuition: do not look at the *edges* starting from a node, but rather the *paths*.

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

3



Intuition: do not look at the *edges* starting from a node, but rather the *paths*.



The intuition is simple

... the details can be tricky (many slightly different formulations)

- 日本 - 1 日本 - 日本 - 日本

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Over 1 billion websites, tens of billions of pages.

Over 1 billion websites, tens of billions of pages.

(And thousands to millions for many keywords)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Application 3: searching the World Wide Web Over 1 billion websites, tens of billions of pages.

(And thousands to millions for many keywords)

How do you search among them?

Until around 1998: Lycos, Yahoo, Altavista, Metacrawler, Infoseek, Netscape Search

Until around 1998: Lycos, Yahoo, Altavista, Metacrawler, Infoseek, Netscape Search

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

look how many times a keyword appears in a page,

Until around 1998: Lycos, Yahoo, Altavista, Metacrawler, Infoseek, Netscape Search

- look how many times a keyword appears in a page,
- ... possibly introduce specific rules ("this website is important, I tell you")

Until around 1998: Lycos, Yahoo, Altavista, Metacrawler, Infoseek, Netscape Search

- look how many times a keyword appears in a page,
- ... possibly introduce specific rules ("this website is important, I tell you")





Copyright @1998 Google Inc.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

... then came...

then came....

Until around 1998: Lycos, Yahoo, Altavista, Metacrawler, Infoseek, Netscape Search

- look how many times a keyword appears in a page,
- ... possibly introduce specific rules ("this website is important, I tell you")



Copyright @1998 Google Inc.

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

... with the PageRank algorithm.

• Core idea: *backlinks* are a measure of importance of a page

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Core idea: backlinks are a measure of importance of a page ... but this alone would not work: I could create 10 000 dummy pages linking to mine. We need something smarter.

- Core idea: backlinks are a measure of importance of a page ... but this alone would not work: I could create 10 000 dummy pages linking to mine. We need something smarter.
- Consider a "random surfer", going from one page to the other, randomly clicking links. Forever.



- Core idea: backlinks are a measure of importance of a page ... but this alone would not work: I could create 10 000 dummy pages linking to mine. We need something smarter.
- Consider a "random surfer", going from one page to the other, randomly clicking links. Forever.



-

► A page is "important" if he *often* ends up on it

A page is "important" if he *often* ends up on it

(ロ)、

• A page is "important" if he *often* ends up on it

 \ldots which is the same as looking at the *centrality* of a page in the network of links!



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

<ロト (個) (目) (目) (目) (0) (0)</p>



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへで



▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Strongly, and increasingly, connected.



・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Strongly, and increasingly, connected. Opportunity for growth
Application 4: financial networks



Strongly, and increasingly, connected.

Opportunity for growth... but also for *contagion* of financial losses, or even just risks.

Sept. 15, 2008: Lehman Brothers files for bankruptcy, due to losses of around \$10 billions.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

- Sept. 15, 2008: Lehman Brothers files for bankruptcy, due to losses of around \$10 billions.
- ► The financial crisis explodes, with the S&P 500 index (500 largest U.S. companies) loosing more than \$10 *trillions* in the next two months.

- Sept. 15, 2008: Lehman Brothers files for bankruptcy, due to losses of around \$10 billions.
- ► The financial crisis explodes, with the S&P 500 index (500 largest U.S. companies) loosing more than \$10 *trillions* in the next two months.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

What happened? Again, contagion.

- Sept. 15, 2008: Lehman Brothers files for bankruptcy, due to losses of around \$10 billions.
- ► The financial crisis explodes, with the S&P 500 index (500 largest U.S. companies) loosing more than \$10 *trillions* in the next two months.

What happened? Again, contagion.



Sept. 12, 2008:

Who is *responsible* for a contagion?

Or: which banks should the regulators mostly regulate/monitor?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Who is *responsible* for a contagion?

Or: which banks should the regulators mostly regulate/monitor?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

... most *central* banks.

Who is *responsible* for a contagion?

Or: which banks should the regulators mostly regulate/monitor?

... most *central* banks.

(And indeed, they are now routinely examined by simulating *contagion* of financial losses over the network of banking relationships)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

▲ロト ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 画 ▶ ▲ 画 → のへで

If I want to put some ad/product on Facebook, who should I aim at?

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

If I want to put some ad/product on Facebook, who should I aim at?

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

Maybe *central profiles* in the network? It depends.

If I want to put some ad/product on Facebook, who should I aim at?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Maybe *central profiles* in the network? It depends.

Looking for viral marketing? Go for centrality!

If I want to put some ad/product on Facebook, who should I aim at?

Maybe central profiles in the network? It depends.

- Looking for viral marketing? Go for centrality!
- Looking for specific user bases?



イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

-

If I want to put some ad/product on Facebook, who should I aim at?

Maybe central profiles in the network? It depends.

- Looking for viral marketing? Go for centrality!
- Looking for specific user bases?



Information is more precious when it is scarcer

Main concepts, VI: clustering and small worlds

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = 差 = のへで

Main concepts, VI: *clustering* and *small worlds*

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Friends of my friends are *often* my friends.

Clustering = "how common are triangles"



Clustering = "how common are triangles"



Low for a network created randomly

Clustering = "how common are triangles"



- Low for a network created randomly
- High for Facebook (and the "people you might know" feature is aware of this!)

Clustering = "how common are triangles"



- Low for a network created randomly
- High for Facebook (and the "people you might know" feature is aware of this!)

"Small world" network = "no two nodes are very far away"

Clustering = "how common are triangles"



- Low for a network created randomly
- High for Facebook (and the "people you might know" feature is aware of this!)

"Small world" network = "no two nodes are very far away"

("six degrees of separation")

Clustering = "how common are triangles"



- Low for a network created randomly
- High for Facebook (and the "people you might know" feature is aware of this!)

"Small world" network = "no two nodes are very far away"

("six degrees of separation")

Facebook is also a small world!

Clustering = "how common are triangles"



- Low for a network created randomly
- High for Facebook (and the "people you might know" feature is aware of this!)

"Small world" network = "no two nodes are very far away"

("six degrees of separation")

Facebook is *also* a small world! Mainly because...of most central nodes.



Paul Erdős (1913-1996): famous Hungarian mathematician

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ



Paul Erdős (1913-1996): famous Hungarian mathematician

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

more than 1500 publications



Paul Erdős (1913-1996): famous Hungarian mathematician

- more than 1500 publications
- more than 500 co-authors



Paul Erdős (1913-1996): famous Hungarian mathematician

- more than 1500 publications
- more than 500 co-authors
- Your Erdős number is:
 - 0 if you are Paul Erdős



Paul Erdős (1913-1996): famous Hungarian mathematician

- more than 1500 publications
- more than 500 co-authors

Your Erdős number is:

- 0 if you are Paul Erdős
- 1 if you co-authored a publication with Erdős



Paul Erdős (1913-1996): famous Hungarian mathematician

- more than 1500 publications
- more than 500 co-authors

Your Erdős number is:

- 0 if you are Paul Erdős
- 1 if you co-authored a publication with Erdős
- 2 if you co-authored a publication with someone having Erdős number of 1 (but not with Erdős) - at least 5500 authors



Paul Erdős (1913-1996): famous Hungarian mathematician

- more than 1500 publications
- more than 500 co-authors

Your Erdős number is:

- 0 if you are Paul Erdős
- 1 if you co-authored a publication with Erdős
- 2 if you co-authored a publication with someone having Erdős number of 1 (but not with Erdős) - at least 5500 authors

It is a small world:



It is a small world:

 most mathematicians have Erdős number below 5 (average of Fields medalists: 3.52),

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

It is a small world:

- most mathematicians have Erdős number below 5 (average of Fields medalists: 3.52),
- most researchers in general have Erdős number below 10 (average of Nobel laureates in physics: 4.42; in economics: 4.91)

It is a small world:

- most mathematicians have Erdős number below 5 (average of Fields medalists: 3.52),
- most researchers in general have Erdős number below 10 (average of Nobel laureates in physics: 4.42; in economics: 4.91)

(My Erdős number is 6: Paul Erdős - László Lovász - Herbert E Scarf -Kenneth J Arrow - Kaushik Basu - Luca Stanca - Pietro Battiston)

Six Degrees of Kevin Bacon



▲□ > ▲圖 > ▲目 > ▲目 > → 目 - のへで

Six Degrees of Kevin Bacon



Game: trace collaboration paths to the famous actor

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ


Game: trace collaboration paths to the famous actor (more than 80 movies, hundreds of collaborators)



Game: trace collaboration paths to the famous actor (more than 80 movies, hundreds of collaborators)

The game started in 1994 and Bacon initially disliked it

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●



Game: trace collaboration paths to the famous actor (more than 80 movies, hundreds of collaborators)

The game started in 1994 and Bacon initially disliked it (he now runs http://www.sixdegrees.org)



Game: trace collaboration paths to the famous actor (more than 80 movies, hundreds of collaborators)

The game started in 1994 and Bacon initially disliked it (he now runs http://www.sixdegrees.org)

Again: small world (usually below 6 for actors who *can* be linked to Bacon)

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト ・ヨ

Erdős-Bacon number: sum of Erdős number and Bacon number!

Erdős-Bacon number: sum of Erdős number and Bacon number!

Actress Natalie Portman co-authored in 2002 the article Frontal Lobe Activation during Object Permanence: Data from Near-Infrared Spectroscopy

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Erdős-Bacon number: sum of Erdős number and Bacon number!

Actress Natalie Portman co-authored in 2002 the article Frontal Lobe Activation during Object Permanence: Data from Near-Infrared Spectroscopy

... which gives her an Erdős number of 5, and an Erdős-Bacon number of 7!

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Erdős-Bacon number: sum of Erdős number and Bacon number!

Actress Natalie Portman co-authored in 2002 the article Frontal Lobe Activation during Object Permanence: Data from Near-Infrared Spectroscopy

... which gives her an Erdős number of 5, and an Erdős-Bacon number of 7!

...visit http://erdosbaconsabbath.com if you want more

Erdős-Bacon number: sum of Erdős number and Bacon number!

Actress Natalie Portman co-authored in 2002 the article Frontal Lobe Activation during Object Permanence: Data from Near-Infrared Spectroscopy

... which gives her an Erdős number of 5, and an Erdős-Bacon number of 7!

...visit http://erdosbaconsabbath.com if you want more (spoiler: Natalie Portman has a Erdős-Bacon-Black Sabbath number of 10)

For the first time in history, it is relatively easy (for a Facebook engineer) to calculate degrees of separation

For the first time in history, it is relatively easy (for a Facebook engineer) to calculate degrees of separation... and they did it:

"Each person in the world (at least among the 1.59 billion people active on Facebook) is connected to every other person by an average of three and a half [3.57] other people."

(From https://research.fb.com/three-and-a-half-degrees-of-separation)

For the first time in history, it is relatively easy (for a Facebook engineer) to calculate degrees of separation... and they did it:

"Each person in the world (at least among the 1.59 billion people active on Facebook) is connected to every other person by an average of three and a half [3.57] other people."

(From https://research.fb.com/three-and-a-half-degrees-of-separation) Decreasing since 2011, when it was 3.74.

For the first time in history, it is relatively easy (for a Facebook engineer) to calculate degrees of separation... and they did it:

"Each person in the world (at least among the 1.59 billion people active on Facebook) is connected to every other person by an average of three and a half [3.57] other people."

(From https://research.fb.com/three-and-a-half-degrees-of-separation) Decreasing since 2011, when it was 3.74. Within the US: 3.46

For the first time in history, it is relatively easy (for a Facebook engineer) to calculate degrees of separation... and they did it:

"Each person in the world (at least among the 1.59 billion people active on Facebook) is connected to every other person by an average of three and a half [3.57] other people."

(From https://research.fb.com/three-and-a-half-degrees-of-separation) Decreasing since 2011, when it was 3.74. Within the US: 3.46

"The majority of the people on Facebook have averages between 2.9 and 4.2 degrees of separation."



That's (only part of) it

Was it a long journey?



That's (only part of) it

Was it a long journey?

Well, in the end it is just a matter of nodes and edges.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Image sources I



Bank, W. (2009).

Reshaping international geography. World Bank World Development Report.



Battiston, P. (2016).

Constrained network formation. Italian Economic Journal, 2(3):347-362.



Battiston, P. and Stanca, L. (2015).

Boundedly rational opinion dynamics in social networks: Does indegree matter? Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization, 119:400–421.



Bearman, P. S., Moody, J., and Stovel, K. (2004).

Chains of affection: The structure of adolescent romantic and sexual networks. *American journal of sociology*, 110(1):44–91.



Brockmann, D. and Helbing, D. (2013).

The hidden geometry of complex, network-driven contagion phenomena. *Science*, 342(6164):1337–1342.



Diebold, F. X. and Yılmaz, K. (2014).

On the network topology of variance decompositions: Measuring the connectedness of financial firms. *Journal of Econometrics*, 182(1):119–134.



Fagiolo, G. and Mastrorillo, M. (2013).

International migration network: Topology and modeling. *Physical Review E*, 88(1):012812.



Jacoby, D. M., Papastamatiou, Y. P., and Freeman, R. (2016).

Inferring animal social networks and leadership: applications for passive monitoring arrays. Journal of The Royal Society Interface, 13(124):20160676.

Image sources II

Minoiu, C. and Reyes, J. A. (2013).

A network analysis of global banking: 1978–2010. *Journal of Financial Stability*, 9(2):168–184.



Petherick, A. (2011).

Emissions markets out east. Nature Climate Change, 1(3):138.



Schuldenzucker, S., Seuken, S., and Battiston, S. (2016).

Clearing payments in financial networks with credit default swaps. In Proceedings of the 17th ACM Conference on Economics and Computation, EC, volume 16.



Simini, F., González, M. C., Maritan, A., and Barabási, A.-L. (2012).

A universal model for mobility and migration patterns. *Nature*, 484(7392):96–100.



Vitali, S., Glattfelder, J. B., and Battiston, S. (2011).

The network of global corporate control. *PloS one*, 6(10):e25995.

Image sources III

- http://allthingsgraphed.com/2014/08/28/facebook-friends-network/
- https://williamjturkel.net/2011/08/02/social-network-analysis-and-visualization
- https://wiki.cs.umd.edu/cmsc734_09/index.php?title=White_House_Visitors/Our_Friend_Kevin

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- http://urbaneramblings.blogspot.com/2012/01/facebook-friends-network.html
- http://www.forbes.com/sites/anaswanson/2014/11/12/ heres-one-thing-the-us-does-export-to-china-carbon-dioxide
- https://xkcd.com/1732/
- http://www.opte.org/maps
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Google
- https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:PageRanks-Example.svg
- http://there100.org/news/14151848
- https://www.openstreetmap.org
- https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Erdos_budapest_fall_1992.jpg
- https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Kevin_Bacon_SDCC_2014.jpg